

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,376	03/14/2006	Werner Fiala	037333.57191US	3622
23911 CROWELL &	7590 12/11/2007 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
	P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	10/559,376	FIALA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William H. Matthews (Howie)	3774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.5	September 2007.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	lar alastian ranvirament						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Oπice	e Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	eu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) D Notice of Informal						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

10/559,376 Art Unit: 3774

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norrby et al. WO 01/89424 (Norrby). Norrby disclose an intraocular lens at pages 12-16 comprising refractive power and negative spherical aberration in order to convert waverfronts when in the eye environment. The refractive power is disclosed to be less than 30 diopters, and Norrby describe providing kits of lenses having plurality of powers and surface asphericities to correct a particular patient's deficiency.

Claims 1-7,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burk USPN 4,504,982. Burk disclose in columns 4-5 an intraocular lens comprising refractive power and asphericity to convert wavefronts when implanted in the eye environment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norrby et al. WO 01/89424 ("Norrby") as applied to claims 1-4 and 9 above. Norrby meet the structural and functional limitations of claims 5-7 as described above but lack the express disclosure of the lens having the precise diopter/aspherity parameters of claims 5-7. However Norrby do describe that the lens may be selected from a large kit of various powers/aspherities or custom made to fit a particular patient (lines 9-11 of p16). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a lens having the design parameters set forth in claims 5-7 in order to custom match a particular patient's needs.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norrby et al. WO 01/89424 ("Norrby") as applied to claims 1-4 and 9 above, or Burk USPN 4504982 as applied to claims 1-7 and 9 above, and in further view of Abitbol et al. EP 1248093.

Norrby and Burk each meet the structural and functional limitations of claim 8 as described above but lack the express disclosure of determining the imaging properties of the lens in the manner set forth in claim 8. Abitbol et al. disclose a method of determining optical properties of any intraocular lens comprising the steps of producing

parallel light beam onto a lens and breaking down the beam into plurality of focused beams via a lens arrangement. The local distribution is shown to be detected, for example, in figure 12. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the testing method of Abitbol et al. to the lens disclosed by Norrby in order to physically test the resulting image patterns of a particular lens.

# Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number

Application/Control Number:

10/559,376 Art Unit: 3774

is 571-272-4753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Milliam H. Matthews/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3774